

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspba.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/050,864	01/18/2002	Yasushi Yamamoto	358.41077X00	9239
21254	7590 12/31/2003		EXAM	INER
MCGINN & GIBB, PLLC			KING, BRADLEY T	
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD		ART UNIT	B + BED + H H + DED	
SUITE 200			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER
VIENNA, VA 22182-3817			3683	

DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

į.	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/050,864	YASUSHI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bradley T King	3683	
The MAILING DATE of this communication			
eriod for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir tod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOM stute, cause the application to become AI	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONER (38) LS C 8 130	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	0 September 2003.		
_	his action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condit	wance except for formal matt	ters, prosecution as to the merits is 0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
isposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1 and 4-10 is/are pending in the a	oplication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3 and 5-7 is/are w	rithdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
 Claim(s) <u>1,4,8 and 9</u> is/are rejected. 			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
pplication Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 September 2003	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority docum. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a law of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language.	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ist of the certified copies not estic priority under 35 U.S.C. first sentence of the specific provisional application has be estic priority under 35 U.S.C.	pplication No received in this National Stage received. § 119(e) (to a provisional application ation or in an Application Data Sheet een received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific	
uttachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Not	5) Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Application/Control Number: 10/050,864

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings were received on 9/10/03. These drawings are approved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DE 19842532.

DE 19842532 discloses all the limitations of the instant claims including; a shift lever 28, a select actuator 24 for operating &said shift lever in a direction of selection, and a shift actuator 34 for operating said shift lever in a direction of shift, wherein said select actuator comprises a casing 38, a shift lever support member 44 disposed in said casing so as to slide in an axial direction while supporting said shift lever, magnetic moving means disposed on the outer periphery of said shift lever support member, a cylindrical fixed yoke surrounding said magnetic moving means, and a coil 46 disposed on the inside of said fixed yoke and said shift lever support member comprises a control shaft 44 rotatably

Application/Control Number: 10/050,864

Art Unit: 3683

disposed in said casing, and a cylindrical shift sleeve 18 disposed on said control shaft and able to slide in the axial direction.

Regarding claims 8 and 10, DE 19842532 disclsoses limit means 42.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE 19842532 in view of Lequesne (IEEE article).

DE 19842532 discloses all the limitations of the instant claim with exception to the explicit disclosure of a permanent magnet for the magnetic moving means. Permanent magnets in solenoid devices are well known in the art and further taught by Lesquesne. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a permanent magnet in the actuator of DE 19842532 to maximize the speed and force of the actuator

Art Unit: 3683

Double Patenting

Claim 10 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of copending Application No. 10/171610. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the same subject matter is claimed through slightly different wording.

Claims 1 and 8-9 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 2 of copending Application No. 10/171610. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the same subject matter is claimed through slightly different wording.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley T King whose telephone number is (703) 308-8346. The examiner can normally be reached on 11:00-7:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Lavinder can be reached on (703) 308-3421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9326.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

BTK

JERVI ORY PATENT EXAMINET.
TECHIOLOGY CENTER 3600